# Anti PR3 ANCA as a Marker of Diagnosis and Assessing the Severity in Ulcerative Colitis Patients

Ayat Shaban Mousa El Nahal<sup>1</sup>, Aya M. Mahros<sup>2</sup>, Rasha Abdallah Ragab<sup>3</sup>, Doaa T. Elsabagh<sup>4</sup>, Hebatalla Abdelmaksoud Abdelmonsef Ahmed<sup>5</sup>, Sally Hassan Essawy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Hepatology, Gastroenterology and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh university.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University.
 <sup>4</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University.
 <sup>5</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Kafrelsheikh university.

Corresponding Author Aya Mohammed Mahros Email:

yoye\_85@hotmail.com ORCID: Mob. 01119088009 © 2024 The author (s). Published by Zagazig University. This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0 license https://creativecommons.o rg/licenses/hv/4 0/ Receive date: 3/8/2024 Revise date:14/10 /2024 Accept date:6/11 /2024 Publish date:11 /11 /2024 Keywords:ulcerative colitis, Anti PR3 ANCA. predictor.

**Background and study aim:** Ulcerative colitis (UC) belongs to the chronic inflammatory diseases with unknown etiology. At present, the diagnosis and monitoring of UC, tracking of responses to intervention, and detection of mucosal healing depend on endoscopy. However, it is invasive, expensive, and requires colonic preparation. We aimed to investigate the clinical roles of anti-PR3-ANCAs in the disease diagnosis and disease severity of ulcerative colitis patients.

**Patients and Methods:** This study was conducted on 50 UC patients attending Kafrelsheikh University Hospital, 13 intestinal control group, and 17 age and sex-matched healthy volunteers from May 2023 to May 2024, colonoscopy and evaluation of (Anti PR3-ANCAs) were done in each group.

**Results:** forty-one patients were PR3-ANCA positive, of them 18 patients were recently diagnosed, while 20 patients were treated for more than one year, and 3 patients were treated for less than one year. The cut-off value for PR3-ANCA to predict ulcerative colitis was >3.3 U/mL with sensitivity 84.0%, specificity 77.0%, area under the curve (AUC) 0.906, 95% confidence interval (CI) (0.839–0.972), p =0.000.

**Conclusion:** PR3-ANCA has an important role as a diagnostic biomarker for UC and in assessing the disease severity.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

One of the chronic inflammatory disorders whose etiology is uncertain is ulcerative colitis (UC). UC is currently believed to be the product of an uncontrolled immune response caused by a confluence of microbial, environmental, and genetic elements [1]. Diffuse mucosal inflammation limited to the intestinal area is a common feature of UC.

Bloody diarrhea, abdominal discomfort, fecal incontinence, and exhaustion are common symptoms of UC [2], which can cause individuals to experience crippling physical and psychological symptoms. Additionally, UC can have an impact on society by absenteeism, and medical expenses [3]. Currently,

endoscopy is used for UC diagnosis and monitoring, intervention response tracking. and mucosal healing detection. However. colonic preparation is necessary; colonoscopy expensive and is intrusive. Furthermore, without any evidence of disease activity under endoscopy, at least one-third of UC patients in the remission period experience gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea and abdominal pain. The "active period" and "remission period" of the disease must be predicted using basic markers that are reflective of endoscopic findings to ascertain whether the patient has experienced a "relapse". Non-invasive markers in particular are preferred due to their objectivity, repeatability, and ease of use [4].

El Nahal et al., Afro-Egypt J Infect Endem Dis, December 2024;14(4):470-476 <u>https://aeji.journals.ekb.eg/</u> DOI: 10.21608/aeji.2024.309462.1404 Blood indicators that are frequently used to assess disease activity include C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, hemoglobin, white blood cell count, albumin, and orosomucoid concentrations [5]. However, they are not very useful in the diagnosis of UC because UC inflammation is restricted to the intestinal mucosa and has little relationship to the activity of systemic diseases [6].

In previous years, immunologic markers have been used in clinical practice. In UC, the presence of certain antibodies can aid as an alternate diagnostic marker [7].

The following antibodies are among these markers: Antibodies against bacterial flagellin, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies, pancreatic antibodies, antibodies against the outer membrane porin C of Escherichia coli, antibodies against the pseudomonas fluorescensassociated sequence, and antibodies against CD peptide [8].

Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies are collectively referred to as serum anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies or ANCAs. Two types of ANCAs that are clinically important are ANCAs. also known perinuclear as myeloperoxidase (MPO)-ANCA, and cytoplasmic ANCAs, also known as antiproteinase 3 (PR3)-ANCA, which target PR3 [9]. According to recent research, PR3-ANCAs are found in a sizable percentage of IBD patients, especially those with UC in Spain and the UK [10].

The primary goal of this study was to evaluate the utility of PR3-ANCA in diagnosing and assessing the severity of the disease in UC patients, as the clinical importance of this test has not yet been thoroughly determined in our region. We aimed to investigate the clinical roles of anti-PR3-ANCAs in the disease diagnosis and disease severity of ulcerative colitis patients.

# PATIENTS/MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Subject:** This study was carried out in the Medical Microbiology and Immunology Department of Kafrelsheikh Faculty of Medicine. It was conducted on 50 UC patients attending the Gastroenterology department of Kafrelsheikh University Hospital, 13 intestinal control group (patients with intestinal symptoms and normal colonoscopy), and 17 age and sexmatched healthy volunteers served as another control group after taking their consent from May 2023 to May 2024

#### Material and Methods:

All UC patients and controls were subjected to full history taking, thorough clinical examination, Colonoscopy, and laboratory assessment: CBC, ESR, CRP, Calprotectin, Liver and renal function & detection of (Anti PR3-ANCAs) by Enzyme-Linked immunoassay (ELISA).

**Blood sampling:** Venous blood samples were taken under sterile conditions in serum separator tubes from each participant. Samples were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 1000 x g. Separated serum samples were stored at -80°C until further use for quantification of (Anti PR3-ANCAs) IgG antibodies by (ELISA) technique

# Quantification of (Anti PR3-ANCAs) IgG antibodies serum levels:

IgG(Anti PR3-ANCAs) antibodies serum levels were quantitated by using (DRG® cANCA (EIA-3596) ELISA Kit and the procedure was manufacturer's according the done to instructions. Briefly, The microwells of the ELISA plate were pre-coated with highly purified PR3 antigen. Standard and serum samples were added to pre-coated wells and after incubation, (Anti PR3-ANCAs)IgG antibodies present in the sample or standard were bound to respective antigens in pre-coated wells. The ELISA plate was washed several times to remove unspecific serum components. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated antihuman IgG was added to detect the bound patient antibodies forming a conjugate/antibody/antigen complex. The ELISA plate was incubated and washed again to remove unbound conjugate. An enzyme substrate was added the plate was incubated and a blue color was formed in the wells had bound conjugate. The addition of an acid stopped the reaction forming a yellow endproduct. The intensity of this yellow color was measured photometrically at 450 nm. The amount of color was directly proportional to the concentration of IgG antibodies present in the original sample. The cutoff value was >5 U/mL

#### Statistical analysis:

#### RESULTS

El Nahal et al., Afro-Egypt J Infect Endem Dis,December 2024;14(4):470-476 https://aeji.journals.ekb.eg/

DOI: 10.21608/aeji.2024.309462.1404

The age of ulcerative colitis groups was 29 (22-35) years, 23(22-30) for the intestinal control group and 26(23-33) for healthy control. The ulcerative colitis group included 25 females and 25 males, while the intestinal control group included 7 males and sex females, and the healthy control group included 9 males and 8 females. Regarding hemoglobin there was a highly significance difference between the three groups more between the ulcerative colitis group and healthy control group (p:0.000). For platelet count there was no significant difference between the three groups. Regarding WBC count there was a highly significant difference between the three groups more between the ulcerative colitis group and healthy control group (p:0.000). Also for ESR there was a highly significant difference between the three groups between the ulcerative colitis group and healthy control group (p: 0.000). For serum albumin, SGPT, and serum creatinine there was highly significance difference between the three groups more between ulcerative colitis group and healthy control group (p:0.000) (table 1). The ulcerative colitis group patients included 50 patients. 41 patients (22 males and 19 females) of them were PR3-ANCA positive, while 9 patients (3 males

and 6 females) were PR3-ANCA negative. Regarding the PR3-ANCA positive group, 18 patients were recently diagnosed, 20 patients were treated for more than one year, and 3 patients were treated for less than one year. WBC count showed a significant difference between the PR3-ANCA positive group and the PR3-ANCA negative group (p: 0.010). Also for ESR and Fecal calprotectin, there was a significant difference between PR3-ANCA positive group and PR3-ANCA negative group (p: 0.000. As regards serum albumin and SGPT there was no significant difference between PR3-ANCA positive group and PR3-ANCA negative group (p: 0.936) (p:0.667) respectively. Also for hemoglobin level, serum creatinine, and blood urea, there was no significant difference between the PR3-ANCA positive group and PR3-ANCA negative group (p: 0.889) (p: 0.328) (p: 0.399) respectively (table 2). In Fig. 1. The optimum cut-off value for PR3-ANCA to predict ulcerative colitis was >3.3 U/mL with sensitivity 84.0%, specificity 77.0%, area under the curve (AUC) 0.906, 95% confidence interval (CI) (0.839–0.972), p =0.000. (Table 2).



Figure 1: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for prediction of ulcerative colitis by proteinase 3 antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (PR3-ANCA).

El Nahal et al., Afro-Egypt J Infect Endem Dis, December 2024;14(4):470-476 <u>https://aeji.journals.ekb.eg/</u> DOI: 10.21608/aeji.2024.309462.1404

| Clinical Characteristics variables |                    | Ulcerative      | Intestinal   | Healthy      | p-value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|                                    |                    | (N=50)          | (N=13)       | (N=17)       |         |
| Age (years)                        |                    | 29(22-35)       | 23(22-30)    | 26(23-33)    | 0.496   |
| Sex                                | Male               | 25(50.0%)       | 7(53.8%)     | 9(52.9%)     | 0.958   |
|                                    | Female             | 25(48.0%)       | 6(46.2%)     | 8(47.1%)     | -       |
| Duration of treatment              | Not treated        | 18(36.7%)       |              |              |         |
|                                    | Less than one year | 9(18.4%)        |              |              | -       |
|                                    | More than one year | 23(46.9%)       |              |              | -       |
| Hb / gm                            |                    | 9.0(8.0-10.0)   | 11.6(11.0-   | 12.5(11.2-   | 0.000*  |
|                                    |                    |                 | 13.0)        | 13.0)        |         |
| PTT/c mm                           |                    | 2(1.5-2.5)      | 3(1.5-3.3)   | 2(1.8-4)     | 0.380   |
| WBCs /c mm                         |                    | 12000(110000-   | 7000(5500-   | 5000(4000-   | 0.000*  |
|                                    |                    | 14000)          | 10000)       | 6000)        |         |
| ESR                                |                    | 59(30-73)       | 10(10-20)    | 10(10-20)    | 0.000*  |
| Fecal calprotectin Mg/g            |                    | 250(157-600)    | 22(19-25)    | 40(25-55)    | 0.000*  |
| Serum Albumin gm/dl                |                    | 3.2(3.0-3.5)    | 4.0(4.0-5.0) | 4.0(4.0-4.5) | 0.000*  |
| Prothrombin time/sec               |                    | 11.0(11.0-13.0) | 12.0(11.0-   | 12.0(11.0-   | 0.189   |
|                                    |                    |                 | 13.0)        | 13.0)        |         |
| SGPT U/L                           |                    | 55(44-70)       | 24(19-30)    | 33(30-35)    | 0.000*  |
| Creatinine mg/dl                   |                    | .9(0.8-1.0)     | 0.8(0.7-0.9) | .8(0.6-0.8)  | 0.000*  |
| Urea mg/dl                         |                    | 25(20-30)       | 20(20-25)    | 20(20-25)    | 0.204   |
| PR3-ANCA                           | Median (IQR)       | 9.3(6.0-23.2)   | 2.0(3.0-3.4) | 2.4(1.6-3.3) | 0.000*  |
|                                    | High Positive      | 41(82.0%)       | 2(15.4%)     | 0(0.0%)      | 0.000*  |
|                                    | Negative           | 9(18.0%)        | 11(84.6%)    | 17(100.0%)   |         |

Table 1: Clinical Characteristics of Ulcerative Colitis Patients

 Hb: hemoglobin, WBCs: white blood cells, ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate, PTT: partial thromboplastin time
 Values are presented as number (%) and

 Median (IQR). \*Significant.
 Values are presented as number (%) and

| Table 2: Comparison of Clinical Characteristics between PR3-ANCA Positive and PR3-ANCA |
|--|
| Negative Groups in Ulcerative Colitis Patients:  |

| Clinical Characteristics variables |                    | PR3-ANCA positive group<br>(N=41) | PR3-ANCA negative group (N=9) | p-value      |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Age (years)                        |                    | 30(22-35)                         | 25(23-30)                     | 0.657        |
| Sex                                | Male               | 22(53.7%)                         | 3(33.3%)                      | 0.463        |
|                                    | Female             | 19(47.3%)                         | 6(66.7%)                      |              |
| Duration of treatment              | Not treated        | 18(43.9%)                         | 0(0.0%)                       |              |
|                                    | Less than one year | 3(7.3%)                           | 3(33.3%)                      | 0.000*       |
|                                    | More than one year | 20(48.8%)                         | 6(66.7%)                      | MC           |
| Hb / gm                            |                    | 9.0(8.0-10.0)                     | 9.0(8.0-10.0)                 | 0.889        |
| PTT/c mm                           |                    | 2(1.5-3)                          | 19(16-20)                     | 0.577        |
| WBCs /c mm                         |                    | 13000(11000-14000)                | 10000(7000-12000)             | 0.010*       |
| ESR                                |                    | 65(50-75)                         | 15(10-30)                     | 0.000*       |
| Fecal calprotectin Mg/g            |                    | 300(200-900)                      | 100(90-100)                   | 0.000*       |
| Serum Albumin gm/dl                |                    | 3.2(3.0-3.5)                      | 3.3(3.0-3.5)                  | 0.936        |
| Prothrombin time/sec               |                    | 11.0(11.0-13.0)                   | 12.0(11.0-12.0)               | 0.716        |
| SGPT U/L                           |                    | 55(44-70)                         | 50(49-70)                     | 0.667        |
| Creatinine mg/dl                   |                    | .9(0.8-1.0)                       | 0.9(0.8-1.0)                  | 0.328        |
| Urea mg/dl                         |                    | 25(20-30)                         | 22(17-25)                     | 0.399        |
| Time of diagnosis                  | Recently diagnosed | 18(43.9%)                         | 18(43.9%)                     |              |
|                                    | Previously         | 23(56.1%)                         | 9(100.0%)                     | 0.018*<br>FE |
|                                    | ulagiloseu         |                                   |                               | 112          |

# DISCUSSION

A multitude of studies have focused on the crucial need to develop UC biomarkers which could have numerous clinical applications including helping disease diagnosis by distinguishing between UC and other intestinal diseases like infectious gastroenteritis or irritable bowel syndrome, monitoring of disease activity non-invasively, and predicting UC severity [11].

The objective of the current study was to investigate the clinical role of anti-PR3-ANCA in disease diagnosis and severity in UC patients.

Previous research found that the prevalence of p-ANCAs in UC patients exhibits geographical variation. For instance, p-ANCAs have been reported at higher rates in UC patients from Western nations compared to their Asian counterparts. This was exemplified by Prideaux and colleagues who noted a 70% positivity rate for p-ANCAs in Caucasian UC patients, in contrast to a 33% rate in Asian patients [12]. This disparity has been corroborated by research conducted across various Asian countries [13-16]. In the context of Western populations, PR3-ANCAs have been identified in a higher proportion of UC patients than Crohn's Disease (CD) patients, exhibiting a sensitivity of 52.1% and a specificity of 97.3% [17]. Also in Switzerland, a study among pediatric patients reported a 58% sensitivity and 93% specificity for PR3-ANCAs in diagnosing UC [18]. Similarly, in China, PR3-ANCAs showed a sensitivity of 57.1% and a specificity of 98.9% [19].

In the present study, conducted within the Egyptian demographic, a pronounced increase in serum anti-PR3-ANCA was observed among patients with Ulcerative Colitis (UC). The study noted an 82% positivity rate for PR3-ANCA in UC patients, compared to 15.4% in individuals with other intestinal conditions and 0% in the healthy control group. A cut-off value of 3.3 U/mL for **PR3-ANCA** identified. was demonstrating a sensitivity of 84% and a specificity of 77% in the prediction of UC. These findings emphasize the significance of PR3-ANCAs as a valuable diagnostic biomarker for

UC across wider populations and geographical regions.

Furthermore, this study demonstrated that 56.1% of the PR3-ANCA positive group were recently diagnosed while all PR3-ANCA negative group previously diagnosed. Inflammatory were markers, WBC count, and ESR, along with the marker for disease activity, fecal calprotectin, were significantly elevated in the PR3-ANCA positive group compared to the negative one. Notably, there was a strong positive correlation PR3-ANCA levels between and fecal calprotectin (r=0.868, p<0.001), colonoscopy score (r=0.839, p<0.001), and ESR (r=0.803, p<0.001). These findings underscore PR3-ANCA's potential as a non-invasive marker for assessing disease severity, including disease gastrointestinal of activity. the extent involvement, and the degree of inflammation.

These outcomes align with the findings of previous studies. A study by Imakiire demonstrated that elevated PR3-ANCA titers in UC patients were indicative of a greater necessity for steroid therapy to achieve remission [20]. In addition, Junxiang Zeng conducted a study where they created a scoring system incorporating various serum biomarkers to predict the severity of UC and found that PR3-ANCA emerged as the most significant indicator of disease severity [21].

This study still had some limitations. First, the study demonstrated strong correlations between PR3-ANCA levels and disease severity markers, so further studies to evaluate this role are recommended. However, the mechanistic link between PR3-ANCA and UC pathogenesis was not investigated and needs further exploration. In addition, the cut-off value of 3.3 U/mL for PR3-ANCA was identified in this study; however, determining the optimal threshold for diagnosis and prognosis requires further validation on a larger sample size. Finally, the study didn't assess the performance of PR3-ANCA over the course of the disease. Further research is needed to validate and refine the use of PR3-ANCA in the clinical management of UC.

## **CONCLUSION:**

In conclusion, the findings of the current study reveal a high prevalence of PR3-ANCA among UC patients, emphasizing its role as a diagnostic biomarker for UC. Moreover, the study's demonstration of significantly elevated WBCs, ESR, and fecal calprotectin levels and their strong correlation with PR3-ANCA levels in UC patients further positions this biomarker as a non-invasive indicator of disease severity. Future research should focus on discovering the underlying mechanisms by which PR3-ANCA contributes to the pathogenesis of UC.

Conflict of interest: None.

Funding: None.

Conflict of Interest: None.

All authors contributed equally to this work.

**Ethical consideration:** This study was approved by Kafrelsheikh university ethical committee and informed consent was taken.

#### **HIGHLIGHTS:**

- The findings of the current study reveal a high prevalence of PR3-ANCA among UC patients, emphasizing its role as a diagnostic biomarker for UC.
- The study's demonstration of significantly elevated WBCs, ESR, and fecal calprotein levels and their strong correlation with PR3-ANCA levels in UC patients further positions this biomarker as a non-invasive indicator of disease severity.
- Future research should focus on discovering the underlying mechanisms by which PR3-ANCA contributes to the pathogenesis of UC.

## **REFERENCES**

- Elbadry M, Nour MO, Hussien M, Ghoneem EA, Medhat MA, Shehab H, et al. Clinico-Epidemiological Characteristics of Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Egypt: A Nationwide Multicenter Study. *Front Med* (*Lausanne*). 2022; Apr 19;9:867293.
- 2. Jairath, V. and Feagan, B.G., Global burden of inflammatory bowel disease. *The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 2020; 5(1), pp.2-3.
- 3. Ahmed, M., Emara, M., Saeed, E., Abouelfetouh, M., Mahros, A. How Valuable are Noninvasive Tests as Indicators of IBD Activity and Severity in the Primary Health Care . *Afro-Egyptian Journal of Infectious and Endemic Diseases*, 2021; 11(2), 113-119.
- 4. Cui J, Li X., Zhang Z, Gao, H & Li J. Common laboratory blood test immune

El Nahal et al., Afro-Egypt J Infect Endem Dis,December 2024;14(4):470-476 <u>https://aeji.journals.ekb.eg/</u> DOI: 10.21608/aeji.2024.309462.1404 panel markers are useful for grading ulcerative colitis endoscopic severity. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2022; 22, 540.

- Schoepfer A, Beglinger C, Straumann A, Trummler M, Renzulli P, Seibold F. Ulcerative colitis: correlation of the Rachmilewitz endoscopic activity index with fecal calprotectin, clinical activity, Creactive protein, and blood leukocytes. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15(12):1851–8.
- 6. Vermeire S, Van Assche G, Rutgeerts P. Laboratory markers in IBD: useful, magic, or unnecessary toys? *Gut.* 2006;55(3):426–31.
- 7. Rutgeerts P, Vermeire S. Serological diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Lancet* 2000; 356: 2117–2118.
- Takedatsu H, Mitsuyama K, Fukunaga S, Yoshioka S, Yamauchi R, Mori A, et al. Diagnostic and clinical role of serum proteinase 3 antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018.
- Lüdemann J, Utecht B, Gross WL. Detection and quantitation of anti-neutrophil cytoplasm antibodies in Wegener's granulomatosis by ELISA using affinity-purified antigen. J Immunol Methods. 1998;114:167–74.
- Mahler M, Bogdanos DP, Pavlidis P. PR3-ANCA: a promising biomarker for ulcerative colitis with extensive disease. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 2013; 424: 267–273.
- Nowak, J. K., Kalla, R., & Satsangi, J. (2023). Current and emerging biomarkers for ulcerative colitis. *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics*, 23(12), 1107–1119.
- Prideaux L, Kamm MA, De Cruz P, van Langenberg DR, Ng SC, Dotan I. Inflammatory bowel disease serology in Asia and the West. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013;19:6207-6213.
- 13. Lawrance IC, Murray K, Hall A, Sung JJ, Leong R. A prospective comparative study of ASCA and pANCA in Chinese and Caucasian IBD patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:2186-2194.
- 14. Zhou F, Xia B, Wang F, Shrestha UK, Chen M, Wang H, et al. The prevalence and diagnostic value of perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies and anti-

Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in mainland China. *Clin Chim Acta* 2010;411:1461-1465.

- 15. Kaneko K, Suzuki Y, Shimizu T, Yamashiro Y, Yabuta K, Lifschitz CH. Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies in Japanese children with ulcerative colitis. *J Paediatr Child Health* 1995;31:336-338.
- 16. Lee JH, Cheon JH, Kim ES, Chung MJ, Kang W, Kim DH, et al. The prevalence and clinical significance of perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody in Korean patients with ulcerative colitis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2010 May;55(5):1406-12.
- Arias-Loste MT, Bonilla G, Moraleja I, Mahler M, Mieses MA, et al. Presence of anti-proteinase 3 antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (anti-PR3 ANCA) as serologic markers in inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2013 Aug;45(1):109-16.
- Horn MP, Peter AM, Righini Grunder F, Leichtle AB, Spalinger J, Schibli S, Sokollik C. PR3-ANCA and panel diagnostics in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease to distinguish ulcerative colitis from Crohn's disease. *PLoS One.* 2018 Dec 17;13(12):e0208974.
- 19. Xu Y, Xu F, Li W, Li M, Dong S, Zhang Y, et al. The diagnostic role and clinical association of serum proteinase 3 antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2020 Jul;55(7):806-813.
- 20. Imakiire S, Takedatsu H, Mitsuyama K, Sakisaka H, Tsuruta K, Morita M, et al. Role of Serum Proteinase 3 Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibodies in the Diagnosis, Evaluation of Disease Severity, and Clinical Course of Ulcerative Colitis. Gut Liver. 2022 Jan 15; 16(1):92-100.
- Zeng J, Gao X, Ge W, Huai M, Yang Z, Luo T, et al. Development of a scoring system for predicting the severity of ulcerative colitis. *Arab J Gastroenterol.* 2023 Nov; 24(4):211-217.

Cite as: El Nahal, A., Mahros, A., Abdallah Ragab, R., T. Elsabagh, D., Abdel Maksoud Ahmed, H., Essawy, S. Anti PR3 ANCA as a Marker of Diagnosis and Assessing the Severity in Ulcerative Colitis Patients. *Afro-Egyptian Journal of Infectious and Endemic Diseases*, 2024;14(4):470-476. doi: 10.21608/aeji.2024.309462.1404

El Nahal et al., Afro-Egypt J Infect Endem Dis, December 2024;14(4):470-476 <u>https://aeji.journals.ekb.eg/</u> DOI: 10.21608/aeji.2024.309462.1404